

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/521,963		08/08/2005	Herve Jegou	017346-0185	2123
22428	7590	05/05/2006		EXAMINER	
	ND LARI	DNER LLP	WILLIAMS, HOWARD L		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2819		
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/521,963	JEGOU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Howard L. Williams	2819					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,9 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,6,8,10 and 11</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers		•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 January 2005 is/are:	a) accepted or b) ⊠objected	to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	• •					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	, ,,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20050808</u> . 6) Other:							

Application No.: 10/521,963 Your Reference: 017346-0185

Art Unit: 2819

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Please add a statement of the continuity of the application to the first page of the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7, 9 and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Florencio (US 6449394 B1). Florencio discloses a method and system of variable length encoding (col. 1, lines 6-7) seen to corresponding to the claimed invention as explained below. Florencio's VLC system uses a variable length code tables with shorter code words assigned to more probable symbols but pads the shorter code words with "don't-care" bits (or redundant words) such that the code words have the fixed length (claim 2); the padded shorter code words are subsequently used to encode later appearing symbols (col. 4, line 9-col. 5, line 40). The "first data flow" is the earlier appearing symbols in the stream and the "second data flow" is the subsequently appearing symbols. Thus the processing module encodes the first symbols of the first data flow based upon the match by selecting among the redundant words on the basis of at least part of the second data flow, as claimed. In column 8 beginning at line 29 Florencio describes the test of "multiplexing capacity" or determination of whether there would be sufficient number of redundant bits to encode the subsequent appearing

3

Application No.: 10/521,963

Your Reference: 017346-0185

Art Unit: 2819

symbols. The binary encoding tree is represented by the listed Boolean encoding logic

appearing in columns 4-7, i.e. the "pseudocode" (e.g. col. 6, lines 45-60). Look-up

tables are identified in col. 11, line 11.

Claims 5, 6, 8, 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Isomura (US 6055273 A) discloses a multiple-valued encoding

system using redundant bits.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Howard L.

Williams at telephone number 571.272.1815. The Patent and Trademark Office central

facsimile number for application specific correspondence intended for entry is 571-273-

8300.

4/28/06

Voice: (571) 272-1815

Howard L. Williams Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2819